

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,847	10/19/2001	Hong-Da Liu	64,600-078	6640
75	90 04/02/2004		EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M	
Suite 120 838 W. Long La	ake Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	10/037,847	LIU, HONG-DA	
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Schechter	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apportunity of the fee.	ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6 would be a canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separ	ate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9-12 and 14</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-8,15,16,19 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is a	a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		SUPERISON PATE AND AND SCHOOL OF AND AND SCHOOL OF AND	TER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 15 presents a new combination of claim limitations which has not been previously considered; it requires further search and consideration to see if it is allowable. The proposed amendment to claim 8 would be objected to, since it contradicts the independent claim by reciting positive dielectric anisotropy instead of negative dielectric anisotropy; it fails to further limit the independent claim and it allows the dependent claim to be infringed without infringing the independent claim, which is improper. The proposed amendment to claim 4 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as it is not clear which electrically conductive grid is being referred to; there are two such grids in claim 9, while there was only one such grid in claim 1.

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendments to the specification and figures are approved by the examiner.